Benefits and Employer Liability

Administrating and Advising Benefit Plans

Eric Ito 604.643.1228 eito@millerthomson.com



Benefits and Employer Liability

What obligations do employers have re: benefit plans?

- Administrating benefit plans
 Duty: to *diligently administrate* benefits
- 2. Advising re: benefit plans

Duty: to accurately describe benefit coverage



Administrating Benefit Plans

- Administration activities:
 - issuing benefits summaries and/or policy books
 - enrolling employees in a benefits plan
 - providing medical questionnaires
 - collecting premiums
 - reporting incomes to the insurer
 - assisting employees in making claims
 - and more



Administrating Benefit Plans (cont.)

Pittman v Manufacturers Life Insurance Company [1990] N.J. No. 36.

- Employee applied for optional life insurance for his spouse under employer's group benefits plan.
- Company's responsibility to receive the applications on behalf of the insurance company.
- Employer lost the application, never provided it to insurer.
- Employee's wife passed away.
- Insurance denied: no record of wife's application.



Administrating Benefit Plans (cont.)

Newfoundland Court of Appeal:

- Insurance company would have accepted application if received.
- Employer was agent of the insurer for the purpose of receiving the application.
- Both employer and the insurer found liable for value of wife's coverage: \$50,000.



Advising on Benefit Plans

What kind of details do employees want / need to know? Includes:

- The nature of the benefits offered;
- The extent of benefit coverage; and
- Requirements for eligibility.



What is usually alleged by the employee?

- Negligent Misrepresentation
- What is negligent misrepresentation?
- 1. There is a duty of care based on a "special relationship";
- 2. Information provided: inaccurate, misleading, or untrue;
- 3. The person giving the information was negligent in providing the information;
- 4. The recipient of the information relied reasonably on the information; and
- 5. The reliance resulted in damages to the recipient.



What kind of damages have been awarded?

- Expenses incurred by the employee
- Past or future wage loss
- Pension benefit loss
- Damages for mental distress and/or disruption and inconvenience

An employee will generally be entitled to what was promised or told to him/her, regardless of whether it differs from the terms of the insurance policy itself.



Spinks v. Canada (1996), 134 D.L.R. (4th) 223 (F.C.A.)

- Spinks: new employee at Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd.
- Several years of experience with Australian Atomic Energy Commission.
- Attended sign on interview, received pension information booklet and pension form.
- Could have included former Australian job in years of pensionable service.
- Staffing officer did not advise Spinks of this option.



Duties of employers generally:

- Information given from an employer to an employee may have a strong impact on the decisions an employee will make.
- Employers must take care in these circumstances.

In this case:

- Mr. Spinks was in a position of "complete reliance" on the employer for the pension information he needed.
 - He was a new employee.
 - Needed information before he could choose wisely.
- Court: the employer should have realized this.



Critical point:

- Court finds staffing officer had responsibility to advise competently and take care in providing that advice "<u>whether or not there was a request</u> <u>for advice</u>".
- Negligent misrepresentation includes a failure to provide information.



Grams v Maple Leaf Metal Industries Ltd., 2006 ABQB 146

- 22 year old worker began employment.
- Terms of benefits policy:
 - Application must be made 90-120 days after starting.
 - After that, must provide evidence of insurability.
- Employer did not advise re: application window.
- Worker applied after 120 days naming parents as beneficiaries but did not provide proof of insurability.
- Worker killed in accident the next day.



- Plan administrator denied benefits to parents because coverage did not commence until it received proof of insurability, which it did not.
- Parents brought claim against employer in negligence for failing to provide insurance coverage under the employment contract.



Alberta Court of Queen's Bench:

- Maple Leaf had a duty to exercise reasonable care and diligence in administration of group policy.
 - Includes providing timely disclosure of the basic details of benefits coverage.
 - Must advise employees of applicable timelines for applying, and any consequences of late application.
- Not obligated to ensure the employee enrolled in the group policy, or ensure that the employee knew every detail about the provisions of the group policy.



Feldstein v 364 Northern Development Corporation, 2016 BCSC 108

- 36 year old married with 2 young daughters.
- Had cystic fibrosis.
- Knew he would require adequate long-term disability coverage in order to provide for family during medical leaves.
- Knew he might not be insurable in any group plans which required medical examination/questionnaire.



- During job search, would not accept any employment with lower level of LTD benefits than current job.
- During interviews with 364, asked to see copy of Summary of Benefits.
- Plan required "proof of good health".
- Asked (would be) direct supervisor about "proof of good health".
- Supervisor: working first 3 months without illness.



- Reality: must complete health questionnaire at time of enrolment.
- One year later, employee's health deteriorated significantly.
- Because he did not complete questionnaire, did not receive full amount of benefits.



Supreme Court of BC:

- Supervisor was the central point of contact between Feldstein and the company.
- Feldstein:
 - Asked repeated questions about the company's LTD plan; and
 - Disclosed his condition to the supervisor.
- Therefore: supervisor ought to have known that LTD benefits were an essential component of Feldstein's decision-making process.
- Supervisor had a duty to ensure the statements he made were accurate and not misleading.



Benefits and Employer Liability

Duties of employers:

- Not limited to providing the correct information.
- Provide all necessary details for the employee to make an informed decision.
 - Anticipate the information the employee may need, based on the employee's own circumstances.
 - Not required to ensure the employee makes the right decision, but provide enough information so he/she can.
- Advise on applicable timelines and consequences of late applications.



Best Practices

- Have a go-to benefits specialist.
 - Avoid multiple people providing information.
- Not required to know all minute details on the spot.
 - If unsure, let the employee know, take the time to find out, and follow up.
 - Rely on policy administrator.
- Avoid questions which may violate privacy.
- Keep written records of meetings and discussions.
- Provide employees with a summary of coverage prepared in consultation with the benefits administrator.



Miller Thomson Labour & Employment Group

Nicole Byres, QC

Partner 604.643.1264 nbyres@millerthomson.com

Ashley Mitchell Associate 604.643.1274 mmitchell@millerthomson.com Valerie Dixon Partner 604.643.1267 vdixon@millerthomson.com

Eric Ito Associate 604.643.1228 eito@millerthomson.com

Follow us...



millerthomson.com/LEblog @mtlabourlaw homson

© Miller Thomson LLP, 2016. All Rights Reserved. All Intellectual Property Rights including copyright in this presentation are owned by Miller Thomson LLP. This presentation may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety provided no alterations are made to the form or content. Any other form of reproduction or distribution requires the prior written consent of Miller Thomson LLP which may be requested from the presenter(s).

This presentation is provided as an information service and is a summary of current legal issues. This information is not meant as legal opinion and viewers are cautioned not to act on information provided in this publication without seeking specific legal advice with respect to their unique circumstances.